



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,016	05/01/2001	Diego Gastaldi	A-6396	5647

5642 7590 02/10/2005

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY
LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044

EXAMINER

BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2127

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/847,016

Applicant(s)

GASTALDI, DIEGO

Examiner

Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

Art Unit

2127

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2004.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-28,30,32-35 and 37 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-28,30,32-35 and 37 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 2, 9-17 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims detail that the processor is located in a media services server device and is configured to either receive a request for media presentation or receive the subscriber identification from the media services client device. However, each of the independent claims detail that the processor is located in a media services client device, and configured to insert the subscriber identification information into the media presentation during a vertical blanking interval of the presentation of the media presentation. It would be impossible for one to make or use the invention with the processor in both the client device and the service device at the same time. In addition, the specification provides for the processor to be in either device, not in both. Therefore, there is no support for the processor to operate in both devices.

2. Claims 22-25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the sending of the subscriber identification information to the media services client device so that the client device can insert the subscriber information into the media presentation, i.e. claim 26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 18-28, 30, 32-35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SETH-SMITH (U.S. Patent 4,890,319).

As to claim 1, SETH-SMITH teaches a media services device (subscription television system), comprising: a memory (EEPROM) for storing subscriber identification information (subscriber identification number / user specific information) (col. 21, lines 2-10); and a processor (microprocessor) configured to receive the subscriber identification information (user specific information) and a media presentation (message sent with template), wherein the processor is configured to insert the subscriber identification information (user specific information) into the media presentation (message sent with template / copyright protected information) (col. 21, lines 1-10), wherein the processor is located in a media services client device (decoder), wherein the processor is configured to insert the subscriber identification information (user specific information) into the media presentation (message sent with template / copyright protected information) (col. 21, lines 1-10; col. 24, lines 62-67; col. 26, lines 12-28) wherein the subscriber identification information is invisible to a viewer of the media presentation (col. 4, lines 56-59). SETH-SMITH teaches the template/flag

Art Unit: 2127

is stored in the vertical blanking interval of the media presentation (col. 7, lines 22-30; col. 8, lines 52-63; col. 10, lines 33-46). It is obvious to one skilled in the art that since the template/flag is completed by inserting the data that the insertion is performed during the vertical blanking interval of the presentation.

As to claim 3, SETH-SMITH teaches the processor is located in a media services client device (decoder), wherein the processor is configured to receive the subscriber identification information (user specific information / address information / client addressed message) from an in band pathway (within the media presentation) delivered from a media services client device (col. 21, lines 1-10; col. 24, lines 62-67; col. 26, lines 12-28).

As to claim 4, SETH-SMITH teaches the processor is located in a media services client device (decoder), wherein the processor is configured to receive the subscriber identification information (user specific information / address information / client addressed message) inputted from a remote control device (key pad / bar code reader) (col. 24, lines 15-21; col. 20, lines 37-39; col. 1, line 30 - col. 2, line 2; col. 19, lines 38-63).

As to claim 5, SETH-SMITH teaches the processor is located in a media services client device (decoder), wherein the processor is configured to receive the subscriber identification information (user specific information / address information) (col. 21, lines

Art Unit: 2127

1-10; col. 24, lines 62-67; col. 26, lines 12-28). SETH-SMITH also teaches the user indicates which tiers he wished to view to the broadcaster by using a signal that is not the media presentation signal (col. 10, lines 51-55). It would be obvious that this signal is an out-of-band signal and that the subscriber identifier must be inherent sent and used in order to identify the correct subscriber.

As to claim 7, SETH-SMITH teaches the subscriber identification information is bar-code inputted information (col. 19, lines 53-63). It is obvious to one skilled in the art that bar-code information on the bar-code chip cannot be rewritten without generating another bar-code chip and is therefore write protected. It is also obvious to one skilled in the art that since the information is guarded and used for correlation between the decoder and the secret serial number that the information is write protected.

As to claim 8, SETH-SMITH teaches the processor is located in a media services client device (decoder), wherein the processor is further configured to de-multiplex, decrypt, and decompress the subscriber identification information and the media presentation in the media services client device (col. 6, lines 52-64).

As to claim 18, SETH-SMITH teaches the processor is further configured to insert the subscriber identification information in to the media presentation to enhance tracing copying of the media presentations (col. 25, lines 3-9).

As to claim 19, SETH-SMITH teaches a method for inserting subscriber identification information into media presentations, the method comprising steps of: receiving subscriber identification information (subscriber identification number / user specific information) (col. 21, lines 2-10); storing the subscriber identification information in memory (col. 21, lines 2-10); receiving a subscriber request for a media presentation (via the user indicates which tiers he wished to view to the broadcaster by using a signal that is not the media presentation signal / via the user using the keypad via the buy button) (col. 10, lines 51-55) (col. 24, lines 15-21; col. 20, lines 37-39; col. 1, line 30 - col. 2, line 2; col. 19, lines 38-63); and inserting the subscriber identification information into the media presentation requested by the subscriber, wherein the inserting of the subscriber identification information occurs at the media services client device (decoder), wherein the media services client device inserts the subscriber identification information into the media presentation (col. 21, lines 1-10; col. 24, lines 62-67; col. 26, lines 12-28) wherein the subscriber identification information is invisible to a viewer of the media presentation (col. 4, lines 56-59). SETH-SMITH teaches the template/flag is stored in the vertical blanking interval of the media presentation (col. 7, lines 22-30; col. 8, lines 52-63; col. 10, lines 33-46). It is obvious to one skilled in the art that since the template/flag is completed by inserting the data that the insertion is performed during the vertical blanking interval of the presentation.

As to claim 20, SETH-SMITH teaches the receiving steps occur at a media services client device (decoder) (col. 24, lines 15-21; col. 20, lines 37-39; col. 1, line 30 - col. 2, line 2; col. 19, lines 38-63; col. 21, lines 2-10)

As to claim 21, SETH-SMITH teaches the media services client device (decoder) receives the subscriber identification information and the request for the media presentation from a remote control device (key pad / bar code reader) (col. 24, lines 15-21; col. 20, lines 37-39; col. 1, line 30 - col. 2, line 2; col. 19, lines 38-63; col. 21, lines 2-10).

As to claims 22-26, SETH-SMITH teaches the user indicates which tiers he wished to view to the broadcaster by using a signal that is not the media presentation signal (col. 10, lines 51-55). It would be obvious that this signal is an out-of-band signal and that the subscriber identifier must be inherent sent and used in order to identify the correct subscriber. Therefore, since the broadcaster, i.e. media services server device, knows the tiers and directs messages to individual subscribers, the broadcaster stores the subscriber identifier, i.e. the subscriber's name and the tiers its requesting and sends the message with the subscriber identifier to the subscriber for viewing.

As to claim 27, SETH-SMITH teaches the storing step occurs at the media services client device (decoder) (col. 21, lines 2-10).

As to claim 28, SETH-SMITH teaches the user indicates which tiers he wished to view to the broadcaster by using a signal that is not the media presentation signal (col. 10, lines 51-55). It would be obvious that this signal is an out-of-band signal and that the subscriber identifier must be inherent sent and used in order to identify the correct subscriber. Therefore, since the broadcaster, i.e. media services server device, knows the tiers and directs messages to subscribers, the broadcaster stores the subscriber identifier, i.e. the subscriber's name and the tiers its requesting.

As to claim 30, SETH-SMITH teaches the step of receiving the media presentation from the media services server device (broadcaster / transmitter) (abstract; col. 6, lines 52-64).

As to claim 32, SETH-SMITH teaches the media services server device transports the media presentation to the media services client device as a compressed and encrypted media stream (col. 6, lines 52-64).

As to claims 33-35, SETH-SMITH teaches the media services server device (broadcaster) inserts the subscriber identification information (address packets) into an encrypted and compressed subscriber identification information portion of the compressed and encrypted media stream (col. 22, lines 9-30).

As to claim 37, SETH-SMITH teaches the subscriber identification information is bar-code inputted information (col. 19, lines 53-63). It is obvious to one skilled in the art that bar-code information on the bar-code chip cannot be rewritten without generating another bar-code chip and is therefore write protected. It is also obvious to one skilled in the art that since the information is guarded and used for correlation between the decoder and the secret serial number that the information is write protected.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2127

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 5, 2005



LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER